


This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:


Brand	Klim
Model	Artemis
Type	Pants - Textile
Date purchased	4 December 2020
Sizes tested	12 and 16
Test garment gender	Female
Style	Tourer
RRP	\$1,095.00

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	★★	35.5
Abrasion	1/10	0.86
Burst	10/10	1562
Impact	7/10	52.1
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	★★★	0.507
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	26.3
Thermal Resistance	-	0.222
Water resistance	7/10	6.1

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the knees and hips. There are zipped vents in the front and back of the upper legs to allow controlled airflow movement through the garment. The breathability rating is based on tests of the garment's materials when all vents are closed. The breathability of this product may be better when the vents can be opened.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.


Removable liners

Thermal liner

Water-resistant liner

Removable impact protection

	Pockets	Armour
Knee	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Hip	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



Abrasion Resistance

These pants were tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	1/10
Abrasion score	0.86

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zones 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zones 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	80%	1.33	1.52	1.51	1.23	1.37	2.36	1.55	M
Material B	20%	0.30	0.32	0.72	0.52	0.30	0.47	0.44	P
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	20%	1.33	1.52	1.51	1.23	1.37	2.36	1.55	M
Material B	80%	0.30	0.32	0.72	0.52	0.30	0.47	0.44	P
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	40%	1.33	1.52	1.51	1.23	1.37	2.36	1.55	G
Material B	60%	0.30	0.32	0.72	0.52	0.30	0.47	0.44	M

Details of materials used in jacket

Material A	Protective patch over fabric shell, laminated water resistant layer and mesh inner liner
Material B	Woven fabric shell with laminated water resistant layer and mesh inner liner

Burst Strength

These pants were tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength Performance

Burst rating	10/10
Burst score	1562

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	1949	1432	870	1558	1949	1722.7	1580	G
Zones 3 & 4	1948	1857	1889	547	1314	1383.9	1490	G

Impact Protection

These pants were tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating	7/10
Impact score	52.1

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

* Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the average and maximum force transmitted through each impact protector type in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Knee		Hip	
Average force (kN)	19.5	A	17.1	A
Maximum force (kN)	23.3	A	21.0	A
Coverage of Zone 1 area	120%		120%	
Coverage of Zone after displacement	100%		100%	

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Knee			Hip		
	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	18.9	19.5	19.6	15.5	16.8	21.0
Impact Protector 2	18.9	16.9	23.3	17.4	16.4	17.1
Impact Protector 3	19.4	17.3	21.3	16.7	16.6	16.4

Breathability

These pants were tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable liners		With water-resistant liner	
Breathability rating	★★★	Breathability rating	N/A
Breathability score	0.507	Breathability score	N/A

Moisture Vapour Resistance - R_{et} (kPa.m ² /W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners	26.2	26.3	26.3
With water-resistant liner	N/A	N/A	N/A
Thermal Resistance - R_{ct} (K.m ² /W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners	0.221	0.223	0.222
With water-resistant liner	N/A	N/A	N/A

Water spray and rain resistance

This pants are advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear	
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)
Pants 1	111	7%	28	11%
Pants 2	107	7%	4	2%
Average	109	7%	16	6%

Location of wetting

Minor wetting to the cotton underwear was present at the crotch for one pair of pants and no visible wetting was present on the other pair of pants tested.

Assessment Details.

Brand	Klim
Model	Artemis
Type	Pants - Textile
Date purchased	4 December 2020
Tested by	AMCAF, Deakin University
Garment test reference	P20T02
Rating first published	March 2021
Rating updated	5 March 2021