



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:


Rev'It **Brand** Model Horizon 2 Type Pants - Textile Date purchased 25 May 2021 Sizes tested L and XL Male Test garment gender Style Tourer RRP \$479.00

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	*	23.1
Abrasion	1/10	0.68
Burst	10/10	1050
Impact	4/10	30.5
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	**	0.399
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	28.7
Thermal Resistance	-	0.191
Water resistance	10/10	0.9

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the knees and hips. Replacing the hip armour with higher performing impact protectors would improve the protection levels of this garment. There are zipped vents in the knees to allow controlled airflow movement through the garment. The breathability rating is based on tests of the garment's materials when all vents are closed. The breathability of this product may be better when the vents can be opened. Breathability was measured without the removable thermal liner installed.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





Abrasion Resistance

These pants were tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	1/10
Abrasion score	0.68

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zones 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zones 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	70%	1.03	0.74	0.81	1.05	0.77	0.95	0.89	Р
Material B	30%	0.63	0.65	0.59	0.53	0.94	0.49	0.64	Р
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	15%	1.03	0.74	0.81	1.05	0.77	0.95	0.89	М
Material B	85%	0.63	0.65	0.59	0.53	0.94	0.49	0.64	Р
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	10%	1.03	0.74	0.81	1.05	0.77	0.95	0.89	М
Material B	90%	0.63	0.65	0.59	0.53	0.94	0.49	0.64	М

Details of materials used in jacket

Material A	Heavy woven fabric shell with laminated water-resistant layer and mesh inner liner
Material B	Woven fabric shell with laminated water-resistant layer and mesh inner liner



Burst Strength

These pants were tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength	Performance	
Burst rating	10/10	
Burst score	1050	

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	_
Zones 1 & 2	904	1277	656	1206	930	1273	1041	G
Zones 3 & 4	503	1228	1205	1210	1340	1043	1088	G



Impact Protection

These pants were tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximium force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating 4/10 Impact score 30.5

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the average and maximum force transmitted through each impact protector type in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Knee		Hip
Average force (kN)	14.4	G	34.3 P
Maximum force (kN)	20.0	A	35.0 P
Coverage of Zone 1 area	130%	<u> </u>	120%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	80%		100%

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Knee	•		Hip		
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	13.3	12.3	20.0	34.0	35.0	34.4
Impact Protector 2	14.3	12.9	14.7	34.0	35.0	34.6
Impact Protector 3	14.7	14.5	13.0	33.2	33.2	33.6



Breathability

Without removable	liners	With water-resistant liner			
Breathability rating	**	Brea	thability rating	N/A	
Breathability score	0.399	Breathability score		N/A	
Moisture Vapour Res	istance - R _{et} (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average	
Without removable line	ers	27.0	30.4	28.7	
With water-resistant lin	er	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Thermal Resistance -	R _{ct} (K.m ² /W)	1	2	Average	
Without removable line	ers	0.191	0.191	0.191	
With water-resistant lin	er	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Water spray and rain resistance

This pants are advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear	
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)
Pants 1	105	9%	1	0%
Pants 2	96	9%	4	1%
Average	101	9%	2	1%

Location of Wetting

There was no visible wetting to the cotton undergarment for either of the pants tested.

Assessment Details.

Brand Rev'lt
Model Horizon 2
Type Pants - Textile
Date purchased 25 May 2021

Tested by AMCAF, Deakin University

Garment test reference P20T09
Rating first published October 2021



Rating updated

18 October 2021