



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand Speed and Strength
Model Off the chain 2.0
Type Jacket - Textile
Date purchased 10 February 2022

Sizes tested XL and 2XL Test garment gender Male


Style All Purpose RRP \$369.95

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	*	19.1
Abrasion	1/10	0.74
Burst	8/10	828
Impact	3/10	23.9
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	*	0.175
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	90.5
Thermal Resistance	-	0.263
Water resistance	1/10	136.7

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the elbows and shoulders. A pocket is provided for an aftermarket back protector. Replacing the elbow and shoulder armour with higher performing impact protectors would improve the protection levels of this garment. There are zipped vents in the upper arms and back to allow controlled airflow movement through the garment. The breathability rating is based on tests of the garment's materials when all vents are closed. The breathability of this product may be better when the vents can be opened. Breathability was measured without the removable thermal liner installed.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





Abrasion Resistance

The jacket was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	1/10
Abrasion score	0.74

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zone 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zone 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	100%	0.91	0.70	0.61	0.85	0.82	0.53	0.74
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	100%	0.91	0.70	0.61	0.85	0.82	0.53	0.74
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	100%	0.91	0.70	0.61	0.85	0.82	0.53	0.74

Details of materials used in jacket

Material A Woven fabric shell with laminated water-resistant layer with mesh inner liner



Burst Strength

The jacket was tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength Performance

Burst rating	8/10
Burst score	828

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Zones 1 & 2	1291	138	572	1018	649	889	759 M
Zones 3 & 4	398	1352	1060	1326	1379	1084	1100 G



Impact Protection

The jacket was tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating	3/10
Impact score	23.9

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Impact protector type	Elbow		Shoulder
Average force (kN)	24.4	A	25.6 M
Maximum force (kN)	28.7	M	27.2 M
Coverage of Zone 1 area	80%	<u> </u>	100%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	60%		80%

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Elbow	Elbow Shoulder				
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	22.5	24.5	25.3	24.3	24.3	26.2
Impact Protector 2	22.9	24.7	23.4	24.7	25.3	27.2
Impact Protector 3	23.3	24.0	28.7	26.0	25.7	27.2



Breathability

The jacket was tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable li	With	With water-resistant line				
Breathability rating	*	Breat	thability rating	N/A		
Breathability score 0.175		Breat	N/A			
Moisture Vapour Resis	tance - R _{et} (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average		
Without removable liners	3	89.5	91.5	90.5		
With water-resistant line	ſ	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Thermal Resistance - R	R _{ct} (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average		
Without removable liners	3	0.274	0.253	0.263		
With water-resistant lines	r	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Water spray and rain resistance

This jacket is advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear	
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)
Jacket 1	363	31%	279	100%
Jacket 2	517	44%	483	174%
Average	440	38%	381	137%

Location of wetting

Major visible wetting to the cotton underwear was present over the chest, neck, upper arms and cuffs of the sleeves in two of the jackets tested.

Assessment Details.	
Brand	Speed and Strength
Model	Off the chain 2.0
Туре	Jacket - Textile
Date purchased	10 February 2022
Tested by	AMCAF, Deakin University
Report approved by	MotoCAP Chief Scientist
Garment test reference	J20T42
Rating first published	March 2022
Rating updated	11 March 2022