



### This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand DriRider

Model Air-Ride 2 Ladies
Type Pants - Textile
Date purchased 25 November 2021


Sizes tested 18 and 22
Test garment gender Female
Style All Purpose
RRP \$199.95

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	+	11.7
Abrasion	1/10	0.17
Burst	10/10	1087
Impact	1/10	0.0
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	***	0.465
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	28.4
Thermal Resistance	-	0.220
Water resistance	8/10	4.9

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the knees. Replacing the knee armour with higher performing impact protectors and adding hip impact protectors would improve the protection levels of this garment. Mesh panels are located in the front of the upper and lower legs and the backs of the knees to allow airflow movement through the garment. This garment has a removable water-resistant liner. The breathability rating above was achieved with the water-resistant liner removed. When tested with the water-resistant liner installed, the breathability rating reduced to 2 stars.

### **Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones**

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





#### **Abrasion Resistance**

These pants were tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



### **Abrasion Resistance Performance**

Abrasion rating	1/10
Abrasion score	0.17

<b>Determining Criteria</b>	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zones 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

**Individual Abrasion Resistance Results:** - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

#### Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zones 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	50%	1.38	1.24	2.48	1.88			1.74
Material B	50%	0.34	0.40	0.49	0.49	0.47	0.61	0.47
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average _
Material B	100%	0.34	0.40	0.49	0.49	0.47	0.61	0.47
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material B	100%	0.34	0.40	0.49	0.49	0.47	0.61	0.47

#### Details of materials used in jacket

Material A	Woven fabric shell, foam layer and fabric inner liner
Material B	Woven fabric shell with fabric inner liner



## **Burst Strength**

These pants were tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



### **Burst Strength Performance**

Burst rating	10/10
Burst score	1087

<b>Determining Criteria</b>	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

**Individual Burst Strength Results:** - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

### Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	_
Zones 1 & 2	1594	1946	895	488	778	789	1082	G
Zones 3 & 4	1256	1272	958	1089	548	1510	1106	G



### **Impact Protection**

These pants were tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



### **Impact Protection Performance**

Impact rating 1/10 Impact score 0.0

<b>Determining Criteria</b>	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

<sup>\*</sup> Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

**Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the average and maximum force transmitted through each impact protector type in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Knee		Hip
Average force (kN)	24.2	A	P
Maximum force (kN)	26.8	M	P
Coverage of Zone 1 area	125%		0%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	95%		0%

**Individual Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

#### Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

		• • •				
Impact protector type	Knee			Hip	No impact prof	tector present
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	21.9	22.7	26.8			
Impact Protector 2	21.7	23.4	25.1			
Impact Protector 3	24.5	24.7	26.7			



### **Breathability**

Without removable I	iners	With water-resistant liner				
Breathability rating	***	Brea	thability rating	**		
Breathability score	0.465	Brea	0.322			
Moisture Vapour Resis	stance - R <sub>et</sub> (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average		
Without removable liner	'S	29.4	27.4	28.4		
With water-resistant line	er	51.7	57.5	54.6		
Thermal Resistance -	R <sub>ct</sub> (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average		
Without removable liner	'S	0.220	0.220	0.220		
With water-resistant line	er	0.293	0.293	0.293		

## Water spray and rain resistance

This pants are advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear	
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)
Pants 1	287	17%	1	0%
Pants 2	197	11%	26	10%
Average	242	14%	13	5%

### **Location of wetting**

There was no visible wetting to the cotton underwear for either pants tested.

# **Assessment Details.**

Brand DriRider

Model Air-Ride 2 Ladies
Type Pants - Textile
Date purchased 25 November 2021

Tested by AMCAF, Deakin University Report approved by MotoCAP Chief Scientist

Garment test reference P20T17
Rating first published March 2022
Rating updated 11 March 2022